arXiv:1412.4424v1 [math.AG] 14 Dec 2014

WALL CROSSING FOR DERIVED CATEGORIES OF MODULI SPACES OF SHEAVES ON RATIONAL SURFACES

MATTHEW ROBERT BALLARD

Abstract. We remove the global quotient presentation input in the theory of windows in derived categories of smooth Artin stacks of finite type. As an application, we use existing results on flipping of strata for wall-crossing of Gieseker semi-stable torsion-free sheaves of rank two on rational surfaces to produce semi-orthogonal decompositions relating the different moduli stacks. The complementary pieces of these semi-orthogonal decompositions are derived categories of products of Hilbert schemes of points on the surface.

1. Introduction

A central question in the theory of derived categories is the following: given a smooth, projective variety X, how does one find interesting semi-orthogonal decompositions of its derived category, $\mathrm{D^b}(\operatorname{coh} X)$? Historically, two different parts of algebraic geometry have fed this question: birational geometry and moduli theory. [Orl92, BO95, Orl97, Bri02, Kaw02a, Kaw06, Kuz10] provides a non-exhaustive highlight reel for this approach.

This paper focuses on the intersection of birational geometry and moduli theory. Namely, given some moduli problem equipped with a notion of stability, variation of the stability condition often leads to birational moduli spaces. As such, it is natural to compare the derived categories in this situation. Let us consider the well-understood situation of torsion-free rank two semi-stable sheaves on rational surfaces [EG95, FQ95, MW97]. The flipping of unstable strata under change of polarization was investigated to understand the change in the Donaldson invariants. It provides the input for the following result, which can be viewed as a categorification of the wall-crossing formula for Donaldson invariants.

Theorem 1 (Corollary 3.2.7)**.** *Let S be a smooth rational surface over $\mathbb{C}$ with L_- and L_+ ample lines bundles on S separated by a single wall defined by unique divisor ξ satisfying*

$$L_- \cdot \xi < 0 < L_+ \cdot \xi$$
$$0 \leq \omega_S^{-1} \cdot \xi.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{L_\pm}(c_1, c_2)$ be the $\mathbb{G}_m$-rigidified moduli stack of Gieseker $L_\pm$-semi-stable torsion-free sheaves of rank 2 with first Chern class c_1 and second Chern class c_2.

There is a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathrm{D^b}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{M}_{L_+}(c_1, c_2)) = \Big\langle \underbrace{\mathrm{D^b}(\operatorname{coh} H^{l_\xi}), \dots, \mathrm{D^b}(\operatorname{coh} H^{l_\xi})}_{\mu_\xi}, \dots$$
$$\underbrace{\mathrm{D^b}(\operatorname{coh} H^0), \dots, \mathrm{D^b}(\operatorname{coh} H^0)}_{\mu_\xi}, \mathrm{D^b}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{M}_{L_-}(c_1, c_2)) \Big\rangle$$

where

$$l_\xi := (4c_2 - c_1^2 + \xi^2)/4$$
$$H^l := \mathrm{Hilb}^l(S) \times \mathrm{Hilb}^{l_\xi - l}(S)$$
$$\mu_\xi := \omega_S^{-1} \cdot \xi$$

with the convention that $\mathrm{Hilb}^0(S) := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}$.

While Theorem 1 is interesting in its own right, the method might be moreso. Indeed, Theorem 1 represents one of multiple possible applications, including to moduli spaces of Bridgeland semi-stable objects on rational surfaces, [ABCH13].

Theorem 1 follows from the general technology that goes under the heading of windows in derived categories. Windows provide a framework for addressing the central question put forth above; they are a machine for manufacturing interesting semi-orthogonal decompositions of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} X)$. They have a rich history with contributions by many mathematicians and physicists [Kaw02b, VdB04, Orl09, HHP08, Seg09, HW12, Shi10, H-L12, BFK12, DS12]. However, windows have not yet achieved their final form. Previous work dealt with an Artin stack $\mathcal{X}$ plus a choice of global quotient presentation $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$. Locating an appropriate quotient presentation for a given $\mathcal{X}$ is not convenient in applications, in particular the above, so one would like a definition of a window more intrinsic to $\mathcal{X}$. Section 2 provides such a definition using an appropriate type of groupoid in Białynicki-Birula strata and extends the prior results on semi-orthogonal decompositions [BFK12] to this setting, see Theorem 2.2.11. A similar extension should appear in [H-L14].

Acknowledgments: The author has benefited immensely from conversations and correspondence with Arend Bayer, Yujiro Kawamata, Colin Diemer, Gabriel Kerr, Ludmil Katzarkov, and Maxim Kontsevich, and would like to thank them all for their time and insight. The author would especially like to thank David Favero for a careful reading of and useful suggestions on a draft of this manuscript. Finally, the author would also like to thank his parents for fostering a hospitable work environment.

The author was supported by a Simons Collaboration Grant.

2. Semi-orthogonal decompositons and BB-strata

For the whole of this section, k will denote an algebraically-closed field. The term, variety, means a separated, reduced scheme of finite-type over k. All points of a variety are closed points unless otherwise explicitly stated.

In this section, we extend the results of [BFK12] by removing the global quotient presentation from the input data. We try to keep this section as self-contained as possible.

2.1. Truncations of sheaves on BB strata.

We begin with the following definition. Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety equipped with a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action. Let $X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ denote the fixed subscheme of the action and let X_0 be a choice of a connected component of the fixed locus. We recall the following well-known result of Białynicki-Birula.

Theorem 2.1.1. *The fixed locus $X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ is smooth and is a closed subvariety of X. Let X_0 be a connected component of $X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$. There exists a unique smooth and locally-closed $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant subvariety X_0^+ of X and a unique morphism $\pi : X_0^+ \to X_0$ such that*

a) *X_0 is a closed subvariety of X_0^+.*

b) *The morphism $\pi : X_0^+ \to X_0$ is an equivariantly locally-trivial fibration of affine spaces over X_0.*
c) *For a point $x \in X_0$, there is an equality*

$$T_x X_0^+ = (T_x X)^{\geq 0}$$

where the right hand side is the subspace of non-negative weights of the geometric tangent space.

Proof. This is [B-B73, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 4.1] □

Remark 2.1.2. Note that the weights on the affine fibers are all positive and the set of closed points of a X_0^+ from Theorem 2.1.1 is

$$\{x \in X \mid \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \sigma(\alpha, x) \in X_0\}$$

so one may think of X_0^+ as the set of points that flow into X_0 as $\alpha \to 0$.

Definition 2.1.3. Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety equipped with a $\mathbb{G}_m$ action. Let X_0 be a choice of a connected component of $X^{\mathbb{G}_m}$. The **BB stratum** associated with X_0 is X_0^+ appearing in Theorem 2.1.1.

If $X = X_0^+$, then we shall say also say that X is a BB stratum. In particular, we require that $X^{\mathbb{G}_m} = X_0$ is connected in this situation.

Remark 2.1.4. Note that X being a BB stratum is equivalent to an extension of the action map $\sigma : \mathbb{G}_m \times X \to X$ to morphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \times X \to X$.

Let X be a BB stratum. Let us consider the local situation first. So $X = \operatorname{Spec} R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and we have a coaction map, also denoted by σ,

$$\sigma : R[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to R[x_1, \ldots, x_n, u, u^{-1}]$$

where the weights the x_i are positive. The fixed locus is then $X_0 = \operatorname{Spec} R$. Let M be a $\mathbb{G}_m$ equivariant module over R, i.e. a quasi-coherent $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant sheaf on X_0. Then, we have a map

$$\Delta : M \to M[u, u^{-1}]$$

corresponding to the equivariant structure. One sets

$$M_i := \{m \in M \mid \Delta(m) = m \otimes u^i\}.$$

If we try to globalize this construction, then two different M_i's are identified under an automorphism of M, which has degree zero with respect to $\mathbb{G}_m$. Thus, for any quasi-coherent $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant sheaf, this gives a quasi-coherent $\mathbb{G}_m$-quasi-coherent sheaf on X_0, $\mathcal{E}_i$.

Lemma 2.1.5. *Let X be a BB stratum and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant sheaf on the fixed locus X_0. Then there is a functorial decomposition*

$$\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}_i.$$

In particular, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the functor

$$\mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_i$$

is exact.

Proof. This is standard and a proof is suppressed. □

Corollary 2.1.6. *Let X be a BB stratum and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a bounded complex of coherent $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant sheaves on the fixed locus X_0. Then there is a functorial decomposition of the complex*

$$\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}_i.$$

This descends to the derived category, $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}[X_0/\mathbb{G}_m])$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.1.5. □

Definition 2.1.7. For a subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, we say that a complex $\mathcal{E}$ from $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}[X_0/\mathbb{G}_m])$ has **weights concentrated in** I if $(\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{E}))_i = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \notin I$.

Now, we turn our attention to $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant sheaves on X itself. Let $j : X_0 \to X$ be the inclusion.

Definition 2.1.8. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an object $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}[X/\mathbb{G}_m])$ and let $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. We say that $\mathcal{E}$ has **weights concentrated in** I if $\mathbf{L}j^*\mathcal{E}$ has weights concentrated in I.

Next, we want to give a procedure to truncate the weights. We first again go back to the local case with $X = \operatorname{Spec} R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and the x_i's having positive weight. Let M be a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant module over $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. We can still consider

$$M_a := \{m \in M \mid \sigma(m) = m \otimes u^a\}.$$

However, this is no longer a submodule of M as multiplication by x_i will raise the weight. But,

$$M_{\geq a} := \bigoplus_{j\geq a} M_j$$

is a submodule of M and inherits a natural $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant structure. The assignment $M \mapsto M_{\geq a}$ is functorial with respect to $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant morphisms so gives a exact functor

$$\tau_{\geq a} : \mathrm{coh}[X/\mathbb{G}_m] \to \mathrm{coh}[X/\mathbb{G}_m]$$

which, of course, descends to the derived category

$$\tau_{\geq a} : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}[X/\mathbb{G}_m]) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}[X/\mathbb{G}_m]).$$

Now let us consider the global situation. Since we have a $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant cover of X of the form $\operatorname{Spec} R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with x_i having positive weights and R have zero weights, we can glue this construction to get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\geq a} : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}[X/\mathbb{G}_m]) &\to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}[X/\mathbb{G}_m]) \\ \mathcal{E} &\to \mathcal{E}_{\geq a}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.1.9. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a bounded complex of coherent $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant sheaves on X, $\mathcal{E}$, one calls $\mathcal{E}_{\geq a}$ a **weight truncation** of $\mathcal{E}$.

Lemma 2.1.10. *If $\mathcal{E}$ has weights concentrated in I, then $\tau_{\geq a}\mathcal{E}$ has weights concentrated in $I \cap [a, \infty)$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{E}$ has weights concentrated in $[a, \infty)$, then there is a natural quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}_{\geq a}$.*

Proof. We can check this computation locally and assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is a bounded complex of locally-free sheaves. Then, one sees that there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\geq a})|_{X_0} \cong (\mathcal{E}|_{X_0})_{\geq a}.$$

Looking at the left-hand side we see that the complex has weights in $[a, \infty) \cap I$. □

Now we level up and consider an appropriate type of groupoid in BB strata. Let $X^1 \underset{t}{\overset{s}{\rightrightarrows}} X^0$ be a groupoid scheme with s, t smooth and X^1, X^0 smooth and quasi-projective. In general, we shall suppress the additional data packaged in a groupoid scheme, including in the next statement. Assume we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_m \times X^0 & \underset{\sigma}{\overset{\pi}{\rightrightarrows}} & X^0 \\ {\scriptstyle l}\downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ X^1 & \underset{t}{\overset{s}{\rightrightarrows}} & X^0. \end{array}$$

of groupoid schemes with l a closed embedding and σ an action. Then, we can define a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} A : \mathbb{G}_m \times X^1 &\to X^1 \\ (\alpha, x_1) &\mapsto l(\alpha, t(x_1)) \cdot x_1 \cdot l(\alpha^{-1}, \sigma(\alpha, s(x_1))) \end{aligned}$$

where the central dot is notation for the multiplication $m : X_1 \times_{s,X^0,t} X^1 \to X^1$.

Lemma 2.1.11. *The morphism A defines an action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on X^1 making both s and t equivariant.*

Proof. This is straightforward to verify so the details are suppressed. □

Definition 2.1.12. If we have a commutative diagram of groupoids as above, the action A is the called the **adjoint** of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on X^1. We say that a groupoid scheme $X^\bullet$ is a **stacky BB stratum** if A extends to a morphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \times X^1 \to X^1$ and $(X^0)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ is connected. Similarly, we say the associated stack $[X^0/X^1]$ is a stacky BB stratum if $X^\bullet$ is such.

We can pass to the fixed loci of the $\mathbb{G}_m$ actions on $X^\bullet$ to get another groupoid scheme $(X^1)^{\mathbb{G}_m} \rightrightarrows (X^0)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ which we call the **fixed substack** and denoted by $[X^0/X^1]_0$. Taking the limit as $\alpha \to 0$ in $\mathbb{G}_m$ we get an induced projection denoted by $\pi : [X^0/X^1] \to [X^0/X^1]_0$.

Remark 2.1.13. Note that if A extends to a morphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \times X^1 \to X^1$ then X^1 and X^0 are unions of BB strata. For simplicity of exposition, we require the connectedness of $(X^0)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$. The disconnected case can be handled using the same arguments with minor modifications.

Lemma 2.1.14. *Let $X^\bullet$ be a stacky BB stratum and let $\tau_{\geq l}$ be the trunction functor on $\mathrm{D^b}(\mathrm{coh}[X^0/\mathbb{G}_m])$ as previously defined. Then, $\tau_{\geq l}$ descends to an endofunctor*

$$\tau_{\geq l} : \mathrm{D^b}(\mathrm{coh}\, X^\bullet) \to \mathrm{D^b}(\mathrm{coh}\, X^\bullet).$$

Furthermore, the weight decomposition on $\mathrm{D^b}(\mathrm{coh}[X^0_0/\mathbb{G}_m])$ descends to $\mathrm{D^b}(\mathrm{coh}[X^0_0/X^1_0])$.

Proof. Recall that a quasi-coherent sheaf on $X^\bullet$ is a pair $(\mathcal{E}, \theta)$ with $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{Qcoh}(X^0)$ and isomorphism $\theta : s^*\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} t^*\mathcal{E}$ satisfying an appropriate cocycle condition and identity condition. Note that any sheaf on $X^\bullet$ carries a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant structure by pulling back along l, so truncation is well-defined on $\mathcal{E}$. For any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $X^\bullet$, we will show that

$$\theta(t^*(\tau_{\geq l}\mathcal{E})) \subset s^*(\tau_{\geq l}\mathcal{E}).$$

This suffices to show that $\tau_{\geq l}$ descends as $\theta^{-1} = i^*\theta$ where $i : X^1 \to X^1$ is the inverse over X^0.

This question is local so we may assume that $X^1 = \operatorname{Spec} S$ and $X^0 = \operatorname{Spec} R$. Let M be the module corresponding to $\mathcal{E}$ so that $\theta : M \otimes_{R,t} S \to M \otimes_{R,s} S$ is an isomorphism. As we

will need to use it, we now recall the cocycle condition in this local situation. We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \otimes_{R,t} S \otimes_{s,R,t} S & \xrightarrow{\theta \otimes_R t} & M \otimes_{R,t} S \otimes_{s,R,t} S \\ \sim\uparrow & & \downarrow\sim \\ S \otimes_{s,R,t} S \otimes_{s,R} M & \xleftarrow[s \otimes_R \theta]{} & S \otimes_{s,R,t} S \otimes_{t,R} M. \end{array}$$

which gives us an isomorphism

$$M \otimes_{R,t} S \otimes_{s,R,t} S \overset{(s\otimes_R\theta)\circ(\theta\otimes_R t)}{\to} M \otimes_{R,t} S \otimes_{s,R,t} S.$$

We can also tensor θ over S with $m : S \to S \otimes_{s,R,t} S$ to get another isomorphism. The cocycle condition is

$$(s \otimes_R \theta) \circ (\theta \otimes_R t) = \theta \otimes_S m.$$

Now, we wish to check that $\theta(M_i \otimes_R S) \subset M_{\geq i} \otimes_R S$. We do this as follows. First, since $A : S \to S[u, u^{-1}]$ has image in $S[u]$, we must have that the image of

$$(l \otimes 1 \otimes l) \circ (m \otimes 1) \circ m := \tilde{A} : S \to R[u_1, u_1^{-1}] \otimes_{\pi,R,t} S \otimes_{s,R,\sigma} R[u_2, u_2^{-1}]$$

lies in the S-subalgebra generated by $u_1^i u_2^j$ with $i - j \geq 0$. Since θ satisfies the cocyle condition, we can factor

$$\theta \otimes_S \tilde{A} = (1 \otimes_R \theta \otimes_R 1) \circ (\overline{\theta} \otimes_R 1 \otimes_R 1) \circ (1 \otimes_R \theta \otimes_R 1) \circ (1 \otimes_R 1 \otimes_R \overline{\theta}).$$

Applying this to $m_j \in M_j$, we have

$$(\theta \otimes_S \tilde{A})(m_j) = \sum_i \theta(\theta(m_j)_i)) u_1^i u_2^j$$

where

$$\theta(m_j) = \sum_i \theta(m_j)_i u_1^i.$$

As $i - j \geq 0$, we see that the $\theta(m_j) \in M_{\geq j} \otimes_{R,s} S$ and θ preserves the truncation.

For the final statement, repeating the previous argument and assuming $\mathbb{G}_m$ acts trivially on R and S shows that θ preserves the whole splitting via weights. □

Example 2.1.15. Let G be a linear algebraic group acting on a variety X. Assume we have a one-parameter subgroup, $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m \to G$, and choice of connected component of the fixed locus, Z_λ^0. Then, we have the BB stratum Z_λ and its orbit $S_\lambda := G \cdot Z_\lambda$. Let

$$P(\lambda) := \{g \in G \mid \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \lambda(\alpha) g \lambda(\alpha)^{-1} \text{ exists } \}.$$

There is an induced action of $P(\lambda)$ on Z_λ. In general, $G \overset{P(\lambda)}{\times} Z_\lambda$ is a resolution of singularities of S_λ. If we assume this map is an isomorphism, then we get what is called **an elementary stratum** in the language of [BFK12]. Since

$$[G \overset{P(\lambda)}{\times} Z_\lambda / G] \cong [Z_\lambda / P(\lambda)]$$

and the groupoid,

$$P(\lambda) \times Z_\lambda \underset{\sigma}{\overset{\pi}{\rightrightarrows}} Z_\lambda$$

is a stacky BB stratum, we see that $[S_\lambda/G]$ is a stacky BB stratum. The simplest case is $G = \mathbb{G}_m$.

2.2. **Removing stacky BB strata and comparing derived categories.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a smooth Artin stack of finite type over k.

Definition 2.2.1. Let $i : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a smooth closed substack that is also a stacky BB stratum and let $l : \mathcal{Z}_0 \to \mathcal{X}$ be the closed immersion of the fixed substack. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a bounded complex of coherent sheaves $\mathcal{X}$ and let $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. We say that $\mathcal{E}$ has **weights concentrated in** I if $\mathbf{L}l^*\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\, \mathcal{Z}_0)$ has weights concentrated in I.

Definition 2.2.2. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a stacky BB stratum in $\mathcal{X}$ and let $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. The **I-window** associated to $\mathcal{Z}$ is the full subcategory whose objects have weights concentrated in I. We denote this subcategory by $\text{=}\mathrm{W}^{=}(I, \mathcal{Z}_0)$.

Lemma 2.2.3. *Assume that the weights of the conormal sheaf of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ are all strictly negative and let $t_{\mathcal{Z}}$ be the weight of the relative canonical sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}$. Set $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ and $j : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{X}$ be the inclusion. Then, the functor*

$$j^* : \text{=}\mathrm{W}^{=}(I, \mathcal{Z}_0) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\, \mathcal{U})$$

is fully-faithful whenever I is contained in a closed interval of length $< -t_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Proof. The argument here is essentially due to Teleman [Tel00, Section 2] which the author first learned of in [H-L12]. It amounts to descending through a few spectral sequences. For the convenience of the reader and to keep the paper self-contained, we recapitulate it in some detail.

For any two objects $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\, \mathcal{X})$ there is an exact triangle of graded vector spaces

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(j^*\mathcal{E}, j^*\mathcal{F})$$

coming from applying the exact triangle of derived functors

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{X}, -) \to \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, -) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{U}, -) \tag{2.1}$$

to $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$. Therefore, a necessary and sufficient condition for j^*'s fully-faithfulness is the vanishing of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$.

The exact triangle in Equation (2.1) comes from applying $\mathbf{R}\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, -)$ to the following exact triangle

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Z}} \to \mathrm{Id} \to \mathbf{R}j_*j^*$$

of functors. Here $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is the derived sheafy local cohomology functor. The complex $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{G})$ is not scheme-theoretically supported on $\mathcal{Z}$ but there is a bounded above filtration by powers of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. The associated graded sheaves are scheme-theoretically supported on $\mathcal{Z}$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{Z}$ is smooth, the s-th associated graded piece is isomorphic to $\mathbf{L}i^*\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^s(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}) \otimes \omega^{-1}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}$.

We may now take global sections on $\mathcal{Z}$ which we may factor through two pushforwards: one by $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}_0$ and one by the rigidification map $r : \mathcal{Z}_0 \to \mathcal{Z}_0^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ [ACV03, Theorem 5.15]. The pushforward $r_* : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\, \mathcal{Z}_0) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\, \mathcal{Z}_0^{\mathbb{G}_m})$ projects onto the weight 0 component of the weight decomposition. Thus, to establish vanishing, it suffices to show that there is no weight 0 component in the splitting of

$$\pi_* \left(\mathbf{L}i^*\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^s(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}) \otimes \omega^{-1}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}} \right).$$

Since we can resolve anything using pullbacks from $\mathcal{Z}_0$, we can use the projection formula to get

$$\pi_*\left(\mathbf{L}i^*\mathcal{G}\otimes \mathrm{Sym}^s(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}})\otimes \omega^{-1}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}\right)\cong \mathbf{L}l^*\mathcal{G}\otimes \mathrm{Sym}^s(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}})|_{\mathcal{Z}_0}\otimes \omega^{-1}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{Z}_0}\otimes \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}.$$

One notices that the terms

$$\mathrm{Sym}^s(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}})|_{\mathcal{Z}_0}\otimes \omega^{-1}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}|_{\mathcal{Z}_0}\otimes \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$$

have weights $\geq -t_{\mathcal{Z}}$. When the weights of $\mathcal{G}$ are concentrated in $(t_{\mathcal{Z}},\infty)$, we get a trivial weight zero component and the desired vanishing. Now, setting $\mathcal{G}=\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})$ for $\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F}\in {}^{\sqsupset}\mathrm{W}^{\sqsubset}(I,\mathcal{Z}_0)$, we see that our assumption on I exactly implies this. □

Lemma 2.2.4. *Assume that the weights of the conormal sheaf of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ are all strictly negative and let $t_{\mathcal{Z}}$ be the weight of the relative canonical sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}$. Set $\mathcal{U}:=\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{Z}$ and $j:\mathcal{U}\to\mathcal{X}$ be the inclusion. Then, the functor*

$$j^*:{}^{\sqsupset}\mathrm{W}^{\sqsubset}(I,\mathcal{Z}_0)\to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U})$$

is essentially surjective whenever I contains a closed interval of length $\geq -t_{\mathcal{Z}}-1$.

Proof. We may assume that $\mathcal{X}\neq\mathcal{Z}$ and we may reduce to I being an interval of length $-t_{\mathcal{Z}}-1$. To demonstrate essential surjectivity it suffices to iteratively reduce the weights by forming exact triangles

$$\mathcal{E}'\to\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{T}$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ is set-theoretically supported on $\mathcal{Z}$ and the weights of $\mathcal{E}'$ are concentrated in a strictly smaller interval than $\mathcal{E}$. There is an exact triangle

$$\tau_{\geq b-1}\mathbf{L}i^*\mathcal{E}\to\mathbf{L}i^*\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{W}$$

where weights of $\mathcal{E}$ along $\mathcal{Z}$ are concentrated in $[a,b]$ with $b-a\geq -t_{\mathcal{Z}}$. By Lemma 2.1.10, the weights of $\tau_{\geq b-1}\mathbf{L}i^*\mathcal{E}$ are concentrated in $[a,b-1]$. Then, the only weight of $\mathcal{W}$ is b. Consider $i_*\mathcal{W}$ as an object of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{X})$. Let us check that the weights of $i_*\mathcal{W}$ lie in $[b+t_{\mathcal{Z}},b]$. We must compute

$$\mathbf{L}i^*i_*\mathcal{W}.$$

This has cohomology sheaves isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}\otimes\bigwedge^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}})$ which by assumption has weights in $[b+t_{\mathcal{Z}},b]$. Note that the only contribution to weight b is $\mathcal{W}$ itself and the map

$$\mathbf{L}i^*\mathcal{E}|_{X_0}\to\mathcal{W}|_{X_0}$$

induces an isomorphism on the weight b portion of the decomposition. Now we define $\mathcal{E}'$ to be the cone over the map $\mathcal{E}\to i_*\mathcal{W}$. Restricting the exact triangle to X_0 and remembering that computing weight spaces is an exact functor, we see that the weights of $\mathcal{E}'$ along $\mathcal{Z}$ are concentrated in $[a,b-1]$. We may also raise the weights by conjugating the previous procedure by dualization. Combining the two procedures, we can move the weights of any complex into I up to $\mathcal{Z}$-torsion. □

Corollary 2.2.5. *Assume that the weights of the conormal sheaf of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ are all strictly negative and let $t_{\mathcal{Z}}$ be the weight of the relative canonical sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}$. Set $\mathcal{U}:=\mathcal{X}\setminus\mathcal{Z}$ and $j:\mathcal{U}\to\mathcal{X}$ be the inclusion. Then, the functor*

$$j^*:{}^{\sqsupset}\mathrm{W}^{\sqsubset}(I,\mathcal{Z}_0)\to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U})$$

is an equivalence whenever I is interval of length $-t_{\mathcal{Z}}-1$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. □

Definition 2.2.6. Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_s(\mathcal{Z})$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{Z}_0)$ consisting of objects with weight s.

Lemma 2.2.7. *Assume that the weights of the conormal sheaf of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ are all strictly negative. The functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_s : \mathcal{C}_s(\mathcal{Z}) &\to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{X}) \\ \mathcal{E} &\mapsto i_* \pi^* \mathcal{E} \end{aligned}$$

is fully-faithful.

Proof. We use the standard adjunctions. We have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\Upsilon_s \mathcal{E}, \Upsilon_s \mathcal{F}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{L} i^* i_* \pi^* \mathcal{E}, \pi^* \mathcal{F}).$$

The cohomology sheaves of $\mathbf{L} i^* i_* \pi^* \mathcal{E}$ are isomorphic to $\pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}})$. Thus, there is a natural map

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi^* \mathcal{E}, \pi^* \mathcal{F}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{X}}(\Upsilon_s \mathcal{E}, \Upsilon_s \mathcal{F}). \tag{2.2}$$

We first check that this is isomorphism. The cone of $\mathbf{L} i^* i_* \pi^* \mathcal{E} \to \pi^* \mathcal{E}$ has cohomology sheaves $\pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{\geq 1}(\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}})$ which have weights $< s$. Let us show that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{\geq 1}(\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}), \pi^* \mathcal{F}[s]) = 0$$

for any s. This vanishing combined with a spectral sequence argument gives that the map in Equation (2.2) is an isomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{\geq 1}(\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}), \pi^* \mathcal{F}[s]) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{\geq 1}(\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}), \mathcal{F} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}_0|\mathcal{Z}})[s]) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}_0|\mathcal{Z}}) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{\geq 1}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}})[s]). \end{aligned}$$

We can again factor through pushforward to the rigidification $\mathcal{Z}_0^{\mathbb{G}_m}$. In this case, the above Hom-space is zero as the weights of the right hand side are concentrated in (s, ∞).

Next we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\pi^* \mathcal{E}, \pi^* \mathcal{F}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}_0|\mathcal{Z}})).$$

The only piece of $\mathcal{F} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}_0|\mathcal{Z}})$ in weight s is $\mathcal{F}$. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}_0|\mathcal{Z}})) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$$

which gives fully-faithfulness. □

Lemma 2.2.8. *Assume that the weights of the conormal sheaf of $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ are all strictly negative and let $t_{\mathcal{Z}}$ be the weight of the relative canonical sheaf $\omega_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}$. Assume that $v - u \geq -t_{\mathcal{Z}}$. There is a semi-orthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathrm{W}^{=}([u, v], \mathcal{Z}_0) = \langle \Upsilon_v, \mathrm{W}^{=}([u, v-1], \mathcal{Z}_0) \rangle.$$

Proof. Take $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{W}^{=}([u, v], \mathcal{Z}_0)$ and consider the exact triangle from the proof of Lemma 2.2.4

$$\mathcal{E}' \to \mathcal{E} \to i_* \mathcal{W}.$$

It is clear that from the definition that $i_* \mathcal{W}$ lies in the image of Υ_v and we saw already that $\mathcal{E}'$ lies in $\mathrm{W}^{=}([u, v-1], \mathcal{Z}_0)$. Thus, the two subcategories generate $\mathrm{W}^{=}([u, v], \mathcal{Z}_0)$. It remains to check semi-orthogonality. This follows as in the proof of Lemma 2.2.3. □

Remark 2.2.9. The reader should observe that everything goes through if $\mathcal{X}$ is singular but smooth along $\mathcal{Z}$.

Definition 2.2.10. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a smooth algebraic stack of finite-type over k. A stacky BB stratum $\mathcal{Z}$ in $\mathcal{X}$ is called an **elementary stratum** if the weights of $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}|\mathcal{X}}$ along $\mathcal{Z}$ are strictly negative.

A pair of elementary strata $\mathcal{Z}_-$ and $\mathcal{Z}_+$ is called an **elementary wall crossing** if $\mathcal{Z}_{-,0} = \mathcal{Z}_{+,0}$ and the two embeddings of $\mathbb{G}_m$ into the automorphisms of $\mathcal{Z}_{\pm,0}$ differ by inversion.

Theorem 2.2.11. *Assume we have elementary wall crossing, $\mathcal{Z}_-, \mathcal{Z}_+$. Fix $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

a) *If $t_{\mathcal{Z}_+} < t_{\mathcal{Z}_-}$, then there are fully-faithful functors,*

$$\Phi_d^+ : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_-) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_+),$$

and, for $-t_{\mathcal{Z}_-} + d \leq j \leq -t_{\mathcal{Z}_+} + d - 1$,

$$\widetilde{\Upsilon}_j^- : \mathcal{C}_j(\mathcal{Z}_-) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_+),$$

and a semi-orthogonal decomposition,

$$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_+) = \langle \widetilde{\Upsilon}^-_{-t_{\mathcal{Z}_-}+d}, \ldots, \widetilde{\Upsilon}^-_{-t_{\mathcal{Z}_+}+d-1}, \Phi_d^+ \rangle.$$

b) *If $t_{\mathcal{Z}_+} = t_{\mathcal{Z}_-}$, then there is an exact equivalence,*

$$\Phi_d^+ : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_-) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_+).$$

c) *If $t_{\mathcal{Z}_+} > t_{\mathcal{Z}_-}$, then there are fully-faithful functors,*

$$\Phi_d^- : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_+) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_-),$$

and, for $-t_{\mathcal{Z}_+} + d \leq j \leq -t_{\mathcal{Z}_-} + d - 1$,

$$\widetilde{\Upsilon}_j^+ : \mathcal{C}_j(\mathcal{Z}_+) \to \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_-),$$

and a semi-orthogonal decomposition,

$$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_-) = \langle \widetilde{\Upsilon}^+_{-t_{\mathcal{Z}_+}+d}, \ldots, \widetilde{\Upsilon}^+_{-t_{\mathcal{Z}_-}+d-1}, \Phi_d^- \rangle.$$

Proof. This is the same argument as for the proof of [BFK12, Theorem 3.5.2]. Again, we recall it in some detail. Swapping the roles of $\mathcal{Z}_+$ and $\mathcal{Z}_-$ we can assume that $t_{\mathcal{Z}_+} \leq t_{\mathcal{Z}_-}$. Choose intervals $I_- \subseteq I_+$ with the diameter of $I_\pm$ equal to $-t_{\mathcal{Z}_\pm} - 1$ and $d := \min I_- = \min I_+$. From Lemma 2.2.8, there is a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\overline{\mathrm{W}}^{=}(I_+, \mathcal{Z}_0) = \langle \Upsilon^-_{-t_{\mathcal{Z}_-}+d}, \ldots, \Upsilon^-_{-t_{\mathcal{Z}_+}+d-1}, \overline{\mathrm{W}}^{=}(I_-, \mathcal{Z}_0) \rangle$$

Using Corollary 2.2.5, we can pull back to $\mathcal{U}_+$ to get

$$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_+) = \langle i_+^* \circ \Upsilon^-_{-t_{\mathcal{Z}_-}+d}, \ldots, i_+^* \circ \Upsilon^-_{-t_{\mathcal{Z}_+}+d-1}, i_+^* \overline{\mathrm{W}}^{=}(I_-, \mathcal{Z}_0) \rangle.$$

Applying Corollary 2.2.5 again, we know that i_-^* induces an equivalence between $\overline{\mathrm{W}}^{=}(I_-, \mathcal{Z}_0)$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{coh}\,\mathcal{U}_-)$. We set

$$\widetilde{\Upsilon}_j^- := i_+^* \circ \Upsilon_j^-$$

and

$$\Phi_d^+ := i_+^* \circ (i_-^*)^{-1}$$

to finish. □

3. Stable sheaves on rational surfaces

In this section, we apply Theorem 2.2.11 using the well-known structure of semi-stable rank two torsion-free sheaves on rational surfaces [EG95, FQ95, MW97].

Let S be a smooth complex projective surface. In this section, we will show how to apply Theorem 2.2.11 to wall-crossing of Gieseker stable sheaves obtained by variation of the polarization on S.

3.1. **Basics.** Let us recall the main notions of stability. Let L be an ample line bundle on S.

Definition 3.1.1. Let E be coherent sheaf on S. The sheaf, E, is **Gieseker L-semi-stable** [Gie77] if it is torsion-free and for any proper subsheaf $F \subsetneq E$ one has $\overline{p}_L(F) \leq \overline{p}_L(E)$ where $\overline{p}_L$ is the reduced Hilbert polynomial associated to the embedding given by L. If the inequality is strict for any proper subsheaf, E is **Gieseker L-stable**. If E is not Gieseker L-semi-stable, then E is **Gieseker L-unstable**.

The sheaf, E, is **Mumford L-semi-stable** [Mum62, Tak72] if it is torsion-free and for any proper subsheaf $F \subset E$ one has $\mu_L(F) \leq \mu_L(E)$ where μ_L is the L-slope of the sheaf. Again if the inequality is always strict, E is **Mumford L-stable** and if E is not Mumford L-semi-stable then it is called **Mumford L-unstable**.

Fix invariants c_0, c_1, c_2 and consider the moduli functors, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_L(c_0, c_1, c_2)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}um}_L(c_0, c_1, c_2)$, given by

$$X \mapsto \{\text{iso. classes of Gieseker } L\text{-s.s. families with } c_0(\mathcal{F}_x) = c_0, c_1(\mathcal{F}_x) = c_1, c_2(\mathcal{F}_x) = c_2\}$$
$$X \mapsto \{\text{iso. classes of Mumford } L\text{-s.s. families with } c_0(\mathcal{F}_x) = c_0, c_1(\mathcal{F}_x) = c_1, c_2(\mathcal{F}_x) = c_2\}.$$

The following is well-known.

Lemma 3.1.2. *The functor, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_L(c_0, c_1, c_2)$, is an algebraic stack of finite-type over k. The same is true for $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}um}_L(c_0, c_1, c_2)$.*

Proof. First, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_L(c_0, c_1, c_2)$ is open, [HL10, Proposition 2.3.1], in the stack of coherent sheaves on S which is algebraic, [Stacks, Theorem 75.5.12]. Thus, $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_L(c_0, c_1, c_2)$ is algebaic stack. There is a bounded family of L-semi-stable sheaves with fixed numerical invariants, [HL10, Theorem 3.3.7]. Base changing the induced map to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_L(c_0, c_1, c_2)$ gives a smooth, surjective map with source of finite-type. A similar argument shows the statement for $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}um}_L(c_0, c_1, c_2)$. □

Next, we address smoothness. Recall that $\mathcal{E}$ is Gieseker (Mumford) polystable if it is the direct sum of Gieseker (Mumford) stable sheaves.

Lemma 3.1.3. *Assume $K_S < 0$. Let E be Gieseker L-polystable or simple. Then, E is a smooth point of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_L(c_0, c_1, c_2)$. A similar statement holds for $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}um}_L(c_0, c_1, c_2)$.*

Proof. Write $E = \bigoplus_{i \in I} E_i$ with each E_i stable. First, since each E_i is stable, or since E is simple, and S is proper, we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}(E, E) \subset \mathrm{M}_m(\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)) = M_m(k).$$

where $M_m(k)$ is $m \times m$-matrices in k.

To check smoothness, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{ext}^1(E,E) - \hom(E,E) = \chi(\mathrm{Ext}^*(E,E))$, which remains constant over $\mathcal{M}_L(c_0,c_1,c_2)$. It suffices, therefore, to show that $\mathrm{Ext}^2(E,E) = 0$. From Serre duality, we have

$$\mathrm{ext}^2(E,E) = \mathrm{h}^0(\mathcal{H}om(E,E)\otimes\omega_S).$$

Since $K_S < 0$, there is an inclusion $\omega_S \to \mathcal{O}_S$ and an inclusion

$$\mathcal{H}om(E,E)\otimes\omega_S \to \mathcal{H}om(E,E).$$

Taking global sections, we see that $\mathrm{h}^0(\mathcal{H}om(E,E)\otimes\omega_S)$ counts the dimension of the subspace of global sections of $\mathrm{Hom}(E,E)$ which vanish along $-K_S$. Since all global sections are constant over S, we have

$$\mathrm{h}^0(\mathcal{H}om(E,E)\otimes\omega_S) = 0.$$

Since Mumford stability implies Gieseker stability, we get the same statement for Mumford semi-stable sheaves. □

3.2. **Rank two stable sheaves.** We now restrict ourselves to the case S is a rational surface and $c_0 = 2$. We recall the results of Friedman and Qin [FQ95], see also [EG95, MW97]. Let L_+ and L_- be two ample line bundles on S. For a divisor ξ satisfying $\xi \equiv c_1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$ and $c_1^2 - 4c_2 \leq \xi^2 \leq 0$, consider the hyperplane in $\mathrm{Amp}(S)_{\mathbb{R}}$ given by

$$W^{\xi} := \{D \mid D\cdot\xi = 0\}.$$

The hyperplane W^{ξ} is called the wall associated to ξ. For simplicity, we shall assume that the line segment joining L_+ and L_- intersects only a single W^{ξ}, determined by a unique ξ. The more general case, where rational multiples of ξ may remain integral and define the same wall, requires minimal modification of the argument [FQ95]. Denote the polarization given by the intersection of the line and the hyperplane by L_0. We assume that L_0 lies in no other walls.

We have two inclusions

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{L_-}(c_1,c_2) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}um}_{L_0}(c_1,c_2) \supseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{L_+}(c_1,c_2).$$

where we change notation

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_L(2,c_1,c_2) =: \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_L(c_1,c_2)$$

to reflect that the focus of our attention is upon rank two sheaves. Note the switch to Mumford semi-stable in the wall. Friedman and Qin study Mumford L_0-semi-stable sheaves of a particular form.

Definition 3.2.1. Let $Z^k(F)$ be the set of sheaves E occuring in a short exact sequence

$$0 \to I_{Z_1}(F) \to E \to I_{Z_2}(\Delta - F) \to 0$$

where $c_1(\Delta) = c_1$, $\xi = 2F - \Delta$, $Z_1 \in \mathrm{Hilb}^k(S)$, and $Z_2 \in \mathrm{Hilb}^{l_\xi - k}(S)$ with

$$l_\xi = (4c_2 - c_1^2 + \xi^2)/4.$$

Denote the associated substack of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}um}_{L_0}(c_1,c_2)$ by $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^k(F)$.

Proposition 3.2.2. *The substack $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^k(F)$ is closed in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}um}_{L_0}(c_1,c_2)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}um}_{L_0}(c_1,c_2)$ is smooth along $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^k(F)$.*

Proof. A Mumford L_0-semi-stable sheaf E lies in $Z^k(F)$ if and only if there is a surjective map

$$E(-F) \to I_{Z_2} \to 0$$

with $\xi = 2F - \Delta$ and $l(Z_2) = l_\xi - k$. This is a closed condition as it states $E(-F)$ lies in the Quot scheme for the Hilbert polynomial associated to I_{Z_2}.

By [FQ95, Lemma 2.2], the non-split extensions in $Z^k(F)$ are simple. The split extensions are polystable. So Lemma 3.1.3 gives the last statement. □

Applying this proposition with the switch $F \to \Delta - F$ also gives the corresponding statement for $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^k(\Delta - F)$.

The stacks $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^k(F)$ admit particularly simple geometric descriptions. Let $\mathcal{E}^k(F)$ be the coherent sheaf on $H^k := \mathrm{Hilb}^k(S) \times \mathrm{Hilb}^{l_\xi - k}(S)$ classifying the extensions appearing in $Z^k(F)$. By [FQ95, Lemma 2.6], $\mathcal{E}^k(F)$ is locally-free. Let

$$X^k(F) := \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}(\mathcal{E}^k(F))$$

be the associated geometric vector bundle on H^k. There is a natural action of $\mathbb{G}_m^2$ on $X^k(F)$ given by endomorphisms of $I_{Z_1}(F) \oplus I_{Z_2}(\Delta - F)$.

Proposition 3.2.3. *There are isomorphisms*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^k(F) \cong [X^k(F)/\mathbb{G}_m^2]$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^k(\Delta - F) \cong [X^k(\Delta - F)/\mathbb{G}_m^2].$$

Proof. From [FQ95, Lemma 2.2.i], given E in $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}^k(F)$, then Z_1 and Z_2 are uniquely determined and the map $\mathcal{I}_{Z_1}(F) \to E$ is unique up to scaling. So the extension class for a given E is determined up to scaling. □

To move closer to schemes, we now rigidify our stacks and remove the residual $\mathbb{G}_m$ coming from multiples of the identity. We denote the rigidified stacks by removing the tilde, e.g. the $\mathbb{G}_m$-rigidified moduli stack of Mumford L_0-semi-stable sheaves will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}um_{L_0}(c_1, c_2)$. We do this now, at the current point in the argument, to guarantee the following result holds.

Proposition 3.2.4. *The substacks $\mathcal{Z}^k(F)$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{l_\xi - k}(\Delta - F)$ form an elementary wall crossing in the stack $\mathcal{M}um_{L_0}(c_1, c_2)$.*

Proof. We have a presentation of $\mathcal{Z}^k(F)$ given by

$$\mathbb{G}_m \times X^k(F) = \mathbb{G}_m^2/\mathbb{G}_m \times X^k(F) \rightrightarrows X^k(F)$$

Now take the $\mathbb{G}_m$ given by the first summand in $\mathbb{G}_m$, i.e scalar endomorphisms of $I_{Z_1}(F)$. Under this $\mathbb{G}_m$-action, $X^k(F)$ contracts onto the zero locus, H^k, so is a BB-stratum. This also gives the morphisms $l : \mathbb{G}_m \times X^k(F) \to \mathbb{G}_m^2 \times X^k(F)$ and $l : \mathbb{G}_m \times X^k(F) \to \mathbb{G}_m^2/\mathbb{G}_m \times X^k(F)$. The adjoint action is trivial on the first factor and is the action on the second. Thus, it extends to $\mathbb{A}^1$ and $\mathcal{Z}^k(F)$ is a stacky BB stratum. Computations in [FQ95, Section 3] identify the conormal sheaf of $\mathcal{Z}^k(F)$ with $\mathcal{E}^{l_\xi - k}(\Delta - F)$ which has weight -1 with respect to this $\mathbb{G}_m$-action. So $\mathcal{Z}^k(F)$ is an elementary stratum. Similarly, one shows that $\mathcal{Z}^{l_\xi - k}(\Delta - F)$ is an elementary stratum. Since we have rigidified, the two choices of $\mathbb{G}_m$ actions on the fixed substacks differ by inversion. □

From here on, we assume that

$$L_- \cdot (2F - \Delta) < 0 < L_+ \cdot (2F - \Delta).$$

and

$$\omega_S^{-1} \cdot (2F - \Delta) \geq 0.$$

Next, we want to compare the moduli stacks $\mathcal{M}_{L_+}(c_1, c_2)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{L_-}(c_1, c_2)$ via a sequence to elementary wall-crossings.

To do so, we consider the following intermediate stacks

$$\mathcal{M}^{\leq l, \geq t} := \mathcal{M}um_{L_0}(c_1, c_2) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k>l} \mathcal{Z}^k(F) \cup \bigcup_{k<t} \mathcal{Z}^k(\Delta - F) \right).$$

Lemma 3.2.5. *We have*

$$\mathcal{M}_{L_+}(c_1, c_2) = \mathcal{M}^{\leq l_\xi, \geq l_\xi + 1}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{L_-}(c_1, c_2) = \mathcal{M}^{\leq -1, \geq 0}.$$

Proof. This is [FQ95, Lemma 3.2.ii]. □

We get a sequence of elementary wall crossing given by

$$\mathcal{M}^{\leq l, \geq l+1} \subset \mathcal{M}^{\leq l+1, \geq l+1} \supset \mathcal{M}^{\leq l+1, \geq l+2}.$$

Applying Theorem 2.2.11, we get the following statement.

Proposition 3.2.6. *With the assumptions as above, there is a semi-orthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{M}^{\leq l+1, \geq l+2}) = \left\langle \underbrace{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} H^l), \ldots, \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} H^l)}_{\mu_\xi}, \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{M}^{\leq l, \geq l+1}) \right\rangle$$

where

$$H^l := \mathrm{Hilb}^l(S) \times \mathrm{Hilb}^{l_\xi - l}(S)$$
$$\mu_\xi := \omega_S^{-1} \cdot (2F - \Delta) = \omega_S^{-1} \cdot \xi.$$

Proof. This is an immediate application of Theorem 2.2.11 using [FQ95, Lemma 2.6] to compute the number of copies of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} H^l)$. □

Corollary 3.2.7. *Let S be a smooth rational surface over $\mathbb{C}$ with L_- and L_+ ample lines bundles on S separated by a single wall defined by unique divisor ξ satisfying*

$$L_- \cdot \xi < 0 < L_+ \cdot \xi$$
$$0 \leq \omega_S^{-1} \cdot \xi.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{L_\pm}(c_1, c_2)$ be the $\mathbb{G}_m$-rigidified moduli stack of Gieseker $L_\pm$-semi-stable torsion-free sheaves of rank 2 with first Chern class c_1 and second Chern class c_2.

There is a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh}\mathcal{M}_{L_+}(c_1,c_2)) = \Big\langle \underbrace{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh}H^{l_\xi}),\dots,\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh}H^{l_\xi})}_{\mu_\xi},\dots$$

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh}H^{0}),\dots,\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh}H^{0})}_{\mu_\xi},\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh}\mathcal{M}_{L_-}(c_1,c_2))\Big\rangle$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} l_\xi &:= (4c_2 - c_1^2 + \xi^2)/4 \\ H^l &:= \mathrm{Hilb}^l(S)\times\mathrm{Hilb}^{l_\xi - l}(S) \\ \mu_\xi &:= \omega_S^{-1}\cdot\xi \end{aligned}$$

with the convention that $\mathrm{Hilb}^0(S) := \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. This is an iterated application of Proposition 3.2.6 using Lemma 3.2.5 to identify first and last moduli spaces. □

Remark 3.2.8. The results on wall crossing of moduli spaces of semi-stable sheaves in [EG95, FQ95, MW97] were originally obtained to compute the change in the Donaldson invariants under change of the polarization. Thus, Corollary 3.2.7 can be viewed as a categorification of that wall-crossing formula.

3.3. **An example.** Let us work out the consequences of Corollary 3.2.7 in the case $S = \mathbb{P}^1\times\mathbb{P}^1$ with $c_1 = 5H_1 + 5H_2$ and $c_2 = 14$. We get the following decomposition of the ample cone into walls and chambers



where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= 3H_1 - H_2 \\ \xi_2 &= H_1 - H_2 \\ \xi_3 &= -H_1 + 3H_2. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$l_{\xi_1} = 0, \mu_{\xi_1} = 5$$
$$l_{\xi_2} = 1, \mu_{\xi_2} = 0$$
$$l_{\xi_3} = 0, \mu_{\xi_3} = 5.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_J$ denote the (rigidified) moduli stack of Gieseker L-semi-stable torsion free sheaves of rank 2 with $c_1 = 5H_1 + 5H_2$ and $c_2 = 14$, $L \in \mathcal{C}_J$ and $J \in \{I, II, III, IV\}$. Applying Corollary 3.2.7 to crossing of W_1, we see that there is a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{M}_{II}) = \left\langle E_1, \dots, E_5, \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{M}_I) \right\rangle$$

with E_i exceptional. Applying it to W_2, we get an equivalence

$$\Phi : \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{M}_{II}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{M}_{III}).$$

Applying it to W_3, we get a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{M}_{III}) = \left\langle F_1, \dots, F_5, \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{M}_{IV}) \right\rangle$$

with F_i exceptional.

The involution, i, that exchanges the two factors of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ induces isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{M}_I \cong \mathcal{M}_{IV}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{II} \cong \mathcal{M}_{III}$$

so there are really only two moduli spaces here. Note however, that the equivalence Φ is not the pullback i^* as it leaves unchanged sheaves that semi-stable in both chambers. Combining the two equivalences, we get an interesting autoequivalence of $i^* \circ \Phi$ of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{coh} \mathcal{M}_{II})$.

References

[ACV03] D. Abramovich, A. Corti, A Vistoli. *Twisted bundles and admissible covers.* Special issue in honor of Steven L. Kleiman. Comm. Algebra 31 (2003), no. 8, 3547–3618.

[ABCH13] Arcara, A. Bertram, I. Coskun, J. Huizenga. *The minimal model program for the Hilbert scheme of points on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and Bridgeland stability.* Adv. Math. 235 (2013), 580–626.

[BFK12] M. Ballard, D. Favero, L. Katzarkov. *Variation of Geometric Invariant Theory quotients and derived categories.* arXiv:1203.6643.

[B-B73] A. Białynicki-Birula. *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups.* Ann. of Math. (2) 98 (1973), 480-497.

[BO95] A. Bondal, D. Orlov. *Semi-orthogonal decompositions for algebraic varieties.* Preprint MPI/95-15. arXiv:math.AG/9506012.

[Bri02] T. Bridgeland. *Flops and derived categories.* Invent. Math. 147 (2002), no. 3, 613-632.

[DS12] W. Donovan, E. Segal. *Window shifts, flop equivalences, and Grassmannian twists.* arXiv:1206.0219.

[EG95] G. Ellingsrud, L. Göttsche. *Variation of moduli spaces and Donaldson invariants under change of polarization.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 467 (1995), 1-49.

[FQ95] R. Friedman, Z. Qin. *Flips of moduli spaces and transition formulas for Donaldson polynomial invariants of rational surfaces.* Comm. Anal. Geom. 3 (1995), no. 1-2, 11–83.

[Gie77] D. Gieseker. *On the moduli of vector bundles on an algebraic surface.* Ann. of Math. (2) 106 (1977), no. 1, 45–60.

[H-L12] D. Halpern-Leistner. *The derived category of a GIT quotient.* arXiv:1203.0276.

[H-L14] D. Halpern-Leistner. *On the derived category of a stack with a θ-stratification.* In preparation.

[HHP08] M. Herbst, K. Hori, D. Page. *Phases Of N=2 Theories In 1+1 Dimensions With Boundary.* arXiv:0803.2045.

[HW12] M. Herbst, J. Walcher. *On the unipotence of autoequivalences of toric complete intersection Calabi-Yau categories*. Math. Ann. 353 (2012), no. 3, 783-802.

[HL10] D. Huybrechts, M. Lehn. The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves. Second edition. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[Kaw02a] Y. Kawamata. *D-equivalence and K-equivalence*. J. Differential Geom. 61 (2002), no. 1, 147-171.

[Kaw02b] Y. Kawamata. *Francia's flip and derived categories*. Algebraic geometry, 197-215, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2002.

[Kaw06] Y. Kawamata. *Derived categories of toric varieties*. Michigan Math. J. 54 (2006), no. 3, 517-535.

[Kuz10] A. Kuznetsov. *Derived categories of cubic fourfolds*. Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems, 219-243, Progr. Math., 282, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010.

[MW97] K. Matsuki, R. Wentworth. *Mumford-Thaddeus principle on the moduli space of vector bundles on an algebraic surface*. Internat. J. Math. 8 (1997), no. 1, 97–148.

[Mum62] D. Mumford. *Projective invariants of projective structures and applications*. 1963 Proc. Internat. Congr. Mathematicians (Stockholm, 1962) pp. 526–530.

[Orl92] D. Orlov. *Projective bundles, monoidal transformations, and derived categories of coherent sheaves*. Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 56 (1992), no. 4, 852-862.

[Orl97] D. Orlov. *Equivalences of derived categories and $K3$ surfaces*. Algebraic geometry, 7. J. Math. Sci. (New York) 84 (1997), no. 5, 1361-1381.

[Orl09] D. Orlov. *Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities*. Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II, 503-531, Progr. Math., 270, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009.

[Seg09] E. Segal. *Equivalences between GIT quotients of Landau-Ginzburg B-models*. Comm. Math. Phys. 304 (2011), no. 2, 411-432.

[Shi10] I. Shipman. *A geometric approach to Orlov's theorem*. Compos. Math. 148 (2012), no. 5, 1365-1389.

[Stacks] The Stacks Project authors. Stacks project. http://stacks.math.columbia.edu/.

[Tak72] Takemoto. *Stable vector bundles on algebraic surfaces*. Nagoya Math. J. 47 (1972), 29–48.

[Tel00] C. Teleman. *The quantization conjecture revisited*. Ann. of Math. (2) 152 (2000), no. 1, 1-43.

[VdB04] M. Van den Bergh. *Non-commutative crepant resolutions*. The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, 749-770, Springer, Berlin, 2004.

Matthew Robert Ballard
University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA
Email: **ballard@math.sc.edu**